

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,420	02/02/2001	R. Steven Schultz	01153.0001U3	4087	
23859	7590 12/03/2002				
	E & ROSENBERG P C EXAMINER			INER	
	REE STREET N E A 30303-1811		FELTEN, I	FELTEN, DANIEL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Ì.

Application No. 09/776,420

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Schultz et al

Examiner

Daniel Felten

Art Unit **3624** 



Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Textbrains of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.138 (al. in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the purpose for year-goacided above the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (al. in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mealing date of this communication.  The purpose for year-goacided above the provision of 37 CFR 1.704 (bit 1.138).  The purpose of the purpose of the provision of the purpose of the pur								
It the periods for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state on priving the considered trenty.  It NO periods for reply a specified above, the meanimal statincy profited will apply with within the set or extended period for reply with by statine, cause the application to become ABANDONED (30 Line C1 133).  Any reply received by the Office better the mainted date of the correspondent construction and provided the communication. The construction of the provided and the communication of the provided and the communication. The provided are communication. The provided are communication.  **Responsive to communication(s) filled on **Sep 16, 2002**  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2c) This action is final in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  3c) Take withdrawn from consideration.  5c) Claim(s) This action is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  1c) The above, claim(s) This action is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  1c) Calim(s) This action is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  1c) Calim(s) This action is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  1c) Calim(s) This action is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  1c) Calim(s) This accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 16, 2002 2a  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is FINAL. 3b  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims 4l) Claim(s) 1-15	- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
This action is FINAL.   2b	Status							
3] ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  ○ Claim(s)	1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 16, 20	002		•			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-15	2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🔀 This acti	on is non-final.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Salar e withdrawn from consideration.   Salar e withdrawn from consideration.   Salar e allowed.   Salar e allowed.   Salar e ejected.   Salar e	Disposit							
Same	4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
Same	4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
Claim(s)								
Claim(s)								
Application Papers  9)					1			
Application Papers  9)					1			
9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  The proposed drawing correction filed on								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  The proposed drawing correction filed on	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepte	d or b)[	$\Box$ objected to by the Examiner.			
The proposed drawing correction filed on	-•							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗆 a	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § \$ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_							
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			4) Interview Su	mmary (PT)	0-413) Paper No(s)			
	, ,		_					

Serial Number: 09/776,420		Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39)	Page 2
Art l	Unit: 3624	Representative:	
	DE	TAILED ACTION	
1.	Receipt of the amendment filed S	September 16, 2002, amending claims 1, 6 an	d 11.
Clair	ms 1-15 are pending in the application	on and are presented to be examined upon the	ir merits.
	Resp	onse to Arguments	
2.	Applicant's arguments with respe	ct to claims 1-15 have been considered but ar	e moot in
view	of the new ground(s) of rejection.		
	Claim Re	jections - 35 USC § 103	
3.	The following is a quotation of 35	U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all	

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ray et al

(Hereinaster, "Ray", US 6,067,529) in view of Tognazzini (US 5,739,5112).

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

manner in which the invention was made.

Regarding claims 1, 6 and 11:

4.

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 3

Representative:

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Ray discloses a method, system and computer system for collecting electronic receipts for purchases

Art Unit: 3624

6

- (a) conducting a sales transaction between a buyer and a seller (see Ray, col. 3, ll. 26+)
- (b) generating an electronic receipt including information describing the purchase (see Ray, Abstract) and
  - (c) transmitting the receipt via a computer network to a computing device operated by *or* on behalf of the buyer, the buyer being presented via a user interface of the device with a representation of the information describing the purchase (see Ray, col. 2, ll. 23-44);
- and including information indicating completion of the transaction (see Ray, col. 3, 11.

  26-40).

Ray's system stores transport addresses within the Gatekeeper device in order to provide 11 information related to customer receipts (see Ray, col. 4, 1l. 14-40). However, Ray fails to 12 disclose storing in a centralized database a record of each receipt generated for each transactions 13 of the plurality of transactions. Tognazzini discloses digital delivery of electronic receipts 14 wherein electronic receipts are stored in a database (see Tognazzini fig. 8, col. 7, ll. 1-35). 15 Since Ray contemplates the use of credit cards/smart cards (see Ray, col. 3, 11. 26+), it would 16 have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ 17 the notoriously old and well known database, as disclosed in Tognazzi, for storing electronic 18 receipt/records of transaction because an artisan at the time of the invention of would have 19 sought to use a database to protect the system against fraudulent or accidental practices where the 20

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 4
Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

buyer receives a purchased item either mistakenly or by trickery. Thus to employ the database, as

- disclosed by Tognazzi into the Ray system would have been an obvious expedient well within
- 3 the ordinary skill in the art.

**Regarding claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-15:** 

Ray in view of Tognazzi discloses, as in claims 2, 7, and 12, generating aggregate information in response to stored receipts; and providing the aggregate information to one of the sellers (see col. 3, ll. 10+).

claims 3-5, 8-10 and 13-15 disclose the receipt generator retrieving the *found* records and transmitting representations of the *found* records to one of the buyers, adding information to a found record, associating the added information with the found record in the database, and downloading information in the found records to financial software as indications of purchases (see col. 3, ll. 10+).

16 Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Daniel S. Felten* whose telephone number is (703) 305-0724. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7:00AM to 5:30PM Monday-Thursday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or its proceedings should

Serial Number: 09/776,420 Applicant(s): Schultz et al. (705/39) Page 5
Art Unit: 3624 Representative:

be directed to the Customer Service Office (703) 306-5631, or the examiner's supervisor *Vincent Millin* whose telephone number is (703) 308-1065.

3

2

6. Response to this action should be mailed to:

5

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

8

10

11

12

13

for formal communications intended for entry, or (703) 305-0040, for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft".

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [daniel.felten@uspto.gov].

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1 195 OG 89.

21

22

23

DSF

November 21, 2002

VINCENT MILLIN UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600